

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,393	06/26/2001	Gen Motoyoshi	016778-0432	9469
22428	7590 03/22/2005		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500			CHO, HONG SOL	
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		2662	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/888,393	MOTOYOSHI, GEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hong Cho	2662			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	·					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del></del> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2001 is/are: a	ı)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08232001, 05262002, 05022603</u> 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:

On page 7, lines 17-18, "C0, C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, and C6" should read -- C0, C1, C2, C3, C4 and C5 --.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 11, 16, 21, 25, 29 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Hiramatsu (U.S 6519278).

In a CDMA system, a common frequency band is used for communication between a mobile station and a base station (a code division multiple access communication system using a common frequency band at a forward and a reverse channel).

Re claims 1, 6, 11, 16, 21, 25, 29 and 33, Hiramatsu discloses designating more spreading codes for transmission of the data in a forward and reverse links (*making* 

Art Unit: 2662

number of said reverse and forward spreading codes changes in response to detected signals indicative of the traffic in said reverse and forward channels, column 4, lines 26-43). Hiramatsu discloses designating and changing the number of spreading codes used in a reverse link and a forward link (mobile assigning means for assigning reverse spreading codes to said reverse channel, wherein said mobile assigning means making the number of said reverse spreading codes changes, abstract, column 2, line 54 to column 3, line 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2, 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 31, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35
  U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiramatsu in view of Agee et al (US 6359923), hereinafter referred to as Agee.

Re claims 2, 7, 12, 17, 22, 26, 30 and 34, Hiramatsu does not disclose said CDMA system using Frequency Hopping (FH) system. However, Agee discloses CDMA system using FH (column 2, lines 21-25). FH is one of basic modulation techniques used in spread spectrum system wherein the spreading code is a list of

Art Unit: 2662

frequencies to be used for a carrier signal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use FH as a spreading code modulation method to avoid interfering signals by not spending much time on any specific frequency.

Re claims 3, 8, 13, 18, 23, 27, 31 and 35, Hiramatsu doest not disclose said CDMA system using Direct Sequence (DS) system. However, Agee discloses CDMA system using DS (column 2, lines 21-25). DS is one of basic modulation techniques used in spread spectrum system wherein the spreading code is the chip sequence used to represent message bits. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use DS as a spreading code modulation method to provide a secure communication link since the power density of the spread spectrum signal is much lower than the narrowband signal so that it is difficult to detect the presence of the spread spectrum signal.

Claim 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 15, 19, 20, 24, 28, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiramatsu in view of Magnusson et al (U.S 6163524), hereinafter referred to as Magnusson.

Re claims 4, 9, 14, 19, 24 and 32, Hiramatsu discloses designating and changing the number of spreading codes used in a reverse link. Hiramatsu doest not disclose increasing number of said reverse spreading codes when the traffic in said reverse channels is much and decreasing number of said reverse spreading codes when the traffic

Application/Control Number: 09/888,393

Art Unit: 2662

Page 5

in said reverse channels is little. Magnusson discloses allocating spreading codes based on traffic rate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the signal of Hiramatsu to indicate the data rate of reverse link so that the number of spreading codes are increased or decreased based on the amount of traffic. The motivation is to get greater throughput by assigning a greater number of spreading codes to higher data rate traffics and to maintain the optimal number of spreading codes to be designated for other traffic by reducing the number of spreading codes when the traffic volume is less.

Re claims 5, 10, 15, 20, 28 and 36, Hiramatsu discloses designating and changing the number of spreading codes used in a forward link. Hiramatsu doest not disclose increasing number of said forward spreading codes when the traffic in said forward channel is much and decreasing number of said forward spreading codes when the traffic in said forward channel is little. Magnusson discloses allocating spreading codes based on traffic rate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the signal of Hiramatsu to indicate the data rate of forward link so that the number of spreading codes are increased or decreased based on the amount of traffic. The motivation is to get greater throughput by assigning a greater number of spreading codes to higher data rate traffics and to maintain the optimal number of spreading codes to be designated for other traffic by reducing the number of spreading codes when the traffic volume is less.

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - US (20020051437) to Take
  - US Patent (6693952) to Chuah et al
  - US Patent (6320851) to Kim et al

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.
The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

hc

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 3-16-2005

HASSAN KIZOU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER